# **Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline on Restorative Treatments** for Caries Lesions: A Report from the American Dental Association

Summary of clinical recommendations for carious tissue removal and direct restorative materials for caries lesions on vital, non-endodontically treated primary teeth

### GRADE Certainty of the Evidence GRADE Interpretation of Strength of Recommendations

	5		3	
	We are very confident that the	Implications	Most individuals in this situation would The majority of individuals in this situati	Conditional Recommendations
High	true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.	For Patients	Most individuals in this situation would want the recommended course of action	The majority of individuals in this situation would want the suggested course of action, but many
_	We are moderately confident in the effect estimate. The true		and only a small proportion would not.	The majority of individuals in this situation would want the suggested course of action, but many would not. Recognize that different choices will be appropri- ate for individual patients and that you must hell each patient arrive at a management decision consistent with his or her values and preferences
Moderate	effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect.	It to be close to <b>For</b> Most individuals should receive the Recognize that different choi	Recognize that different choices will be appropri- ate for individual patients and that you must help	
Low	Our confidence in the effect estimate is limited.			each patient arrive at a management decision consistent with his or her values and preferences.
Very Low	We have very little confidence in the effect estimate.	For Policy Makers	The recommendation can be adapted as policy in most situations.	Policy making will require substantial debate and involvement of various stakeholders.

Clinical scenario	Expert Panel Recommendations and Good Practice Statement	Certainty of the Evidence	Strength of Recommendation
Carious tissue removal approaches in primary teeth	To treat <b>moderate<sup>1</sup> caries lesions</b> on vital, primary teeth requiring a restoration, regardless of direct restorative material <sup>2</sup> and means to remove carious tissue, <sup>3</sup> and without pulp therapy, the guideline panel suggests <b>the use of selective carious tissue removal</b> , <sup>4</sup> <b>non-selective carious tissue removal</b> , <sup>5</sup> <b>or no carious tissue removal (i.e., sealing lesions with a preformed crown)</b> . <sup>6-8</sup>		Conditional
	To treat <b>advanced<sup>9</sup> caries lesions</b> on vital, primary teeth requiring a restoration, regardless of direct restorative material <sup>2</sup> and means to remove carious tissue, <sup>3</sup> and without pulp therapy, the guideline panel suggests <b>prioritizing the use of selective carious tissue removal</b> <sup>4</sup> or <b>no carious tissue removal</b> (i.e., sealing with a preformed crown) over non-selective carious tissue removal <sup>5</sup> or stepwise caries removal. <sup>7, 8, 10, 11</sup>	Very low	Conditional
Direct restorative materials for	For moderate <sup>1</sup> and advanced <sup>9</sup> caries lesions on vital, <b>anterior</b> , <b>primary</b> teeth requiring a <b>Class III</b> (approximal) restoration, regardless of carious tissue removal approach, <sup>12</sup> and without pulp therapy, the guideline panel suggests <b>the use of either nanocomposite or hybrid resin composite.</b> <sup>6, 13</sup>		Conditional
primary teeth	For moderate <sup>1</sup> and advanced <sup>9</sup> caries lesions on vital, <b>anterior</b> , <b>primary</b> teeth requiring a <b>Class V</b> (cervical third of facial or lingual) restoration, regardless of carious tissue removal approach, <sup>12</sup> and without pulp therapy, the guideline panel suggests <b>the use of either conventional glass ionomer cement (GIC)</b> , hybrid resin composite, or resin-modified GIC. <sup>6</sup> , <sup>13-15</sup>	Very low	Conditional
	For moderate <sup>1</sup> and advanced <sup>9</sup> caries lesions on vital, <b>posterior</b> , <b>primary</b> teeth requiring a <b>Class I</b> (pit and fissure) restoration, regardless of carious tissue removal approach, <sup>12</sup> and without pulp therapy, the guideline panel suggests <b>prioritizing the use of resin-modified GIC</b> , <b>resin composites</b> , <b>conventional GIC</b> , or <b>preformed crowns over compomer or dental amalgam</b> . <sup>8, 11, 14-17</sup>	Very low	Conditional
	For moderate <sup>1</sup> and advanced <sup>9</sup> caries lesions on vital, <b>posterior</b> , <b>primary</b> teeth requiring a <b>Class II</b> (approximal) restoration, regardless of carious tissue removal approach, <sup>12</sup> and without pulp therapy, the guideline panel suggests <b>prioritizing the use of resin-modified GIC</b> , <b>resin composites</b> , or <b>preformed crowns over compomer</b> , <b>conventional GIC</b> , or <b>dental amalgam</b> . <sup>8, 11, 15-18</sup>	Very low	Conditional
	For moderate <sup>1</sup> and advanced <sup>9</sup> caries lesions on vital, <b>posterior</b> , <b>primary</b> teeth requiring a <b>Class V</b> (cervical third of facial or lingual) restoration, regardless of carious tissue removal approach, <sup>12</sup> and without pulp therapy, the guideline panel suggests <b>the use of either conventional GIC</b> , <b>hybrid resin composite</b> , <b>or resin-modified GIC</b> . <sup>6, 13-15</sup>	Very low	Conditional
	Good practice statement: The U.S. Food and Drug Administration recommends not using dental amale	nam in "childre	en, especially those

Good practice statement: The U.S. Food and Drug Administration recommends not using dental amalgam in "children, especially those younger than six years of age; people with pre-existing neurological disease; people with impaired kidney function; [and] people with known heightened sensitivity (allergy) to mercury or other components (silver, copper, tin)" wherever possible.<sup>19</sup>

#### ADA. Center for Evidence-Based Dentistry™

Copyright © 2023 American Dental Association. All rights reserved. Adapted with permission. This algorithm should be used in consultation with your patients. For additional resources, including the guideline's full text and resources to navigate patient conversations, visit ADA.org/restorative. This chairside guide may be used, copied, and distributed for noncommercial purposes without obtaining prior approval from the ADA. Any other use, copying, or distribution, whether in printed or electronic format, is strictly prohibited without the prior written consent of the ADA.

## **Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline on Restorative Treatments**

for Caries Lesions: A Report from the American Dental Association



- Direct restorative materials are limited to the use of dental amalgam, compomer, conventional GIC, preformed crowns, resin composites (i.e., hybrid resin composite, macrofilled resin composite, and nanocomposite), 2 and resin-modified GIC.
- Means to remove carious tissue is defined as mechanical or chemo-mechanical. 3
- Selective carious tissue removal is defined as carious tissue being removed until soft or firm dentin is reached. Also known as partial or incomplete caries removal. 4
- 5 Non-selective carious tissue removal is defined as carious tissue being removed until hard dentin is reached. Also known as complete caries removal
- 6 The guideline panel assigned no prioritization among the recommended interventions.
- Clinicians may perform no carious tissue removal for lesions where a preformed metal crown is indicated. Clinicians should consider the number of involved surfaces, caries risk and activity, moisture control, patient behavior, patient/caregiver preferences, and anticipated time to exfoliation when deciding whether to place a preformed metal crown using the Hall technique.
- 8 Preformed crowns include the use of stainless steel or esthetic crowns.
- 9 Advanced caries lesion is defined as ICDAS codes 5 and 6.
- 10 Stepwise carious tissue removal is defined as carious tissue being first removed until soft dentin is reached and then a temporary restoration is placed. Months later, the restoration and carious tissue are removed until firm dentin is reached and a permanent restoration is then placed. Also known as two-step caries removal
- The prioritization of interventions in this recommendation is a ranking determined by the panel due to their effectiveness, patients' values and preferences, resources required, acceptability, and feasibility. 11
- 12 Carious tissue removal approach is defined as the extent of carious tissue removed.
- 13 Resin composite and resin-modified GIC materials may be used as a conventional or strip crown restoration.
- 14 Conventional and resin-modified GIC may be preferable when tooth isolation cannot be achieved, in patients with special healthcare needs, or in patients lacking predictable access to care.
- 15 Conventional GIC may be preferable when light-curing is not feasible.
- 16 Resin composites refer to hybrid resin composite, macrofilled resin composite, and nanocomposite.
- 17 Clinicians should reserve preformed crowns for lesions where indicated. Clinicians should consider the extent of the lesion, caries risk and activity, moisture control, patient behavior, patient/caregiver preferences, and anticipated time to exfoliation when deciding whether to perform a single or multi-surface direct restoration or place a preformed crown.
- 18 Resin-modified GIC may be preferable when tooth isolation cannot be achieved, in patients with special healthcare needs, or in patients lacking predictable access to care.
- FDA Issues Recommendations for Certain High-Risk Groups Regarding Mercury-Containing Dental Amalgam. 19 https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-issues-recommendations-certain-high-risk-groups-regarding-mercury-containing-dental-amalgam. [Accessed 18 May 2023].