

COMMISSION ON DENTAL ACCREDITATION

REPORTING PROGRAM CHANGES IN ACCREDITED PROGRAMS

The Commission on Dental Accreditation recognizes that education and accreditation are dynamic, not static, processes. Ongoing review and evaluation often lead to changes in an educational program. The Commission views change as part of a healthy educational process and encourages programs to make them as part of their normal operating procedures.

At times, however, more significant changes occur in a program. Changes have a direct and significant impact on the program's potential ability to comply with the accreditation standards. These changes tend to occur in the areas of finances, program administration, enrollment, curriculum and clinical/laboratory facilities, but may also occur in other areas. All program changes that could affect the ability of the program to comply with the Accreditation Standards must be reported to the Commission. When a change is planned, Commission staff should be consulted to determine reporting requirements. Reporting program changes in the Annual Survey does not preclude the requirement to report changes directly to the Commission. Failure to report and receive approval in advance of implementing the change, using the Guidelines for Reporting Program Change, may result in review by the Commission, a special site visit, and may jeopardize the program's accreditation status.

Advanced dental education programs must adhere to the Policy on Enrollment Increases in Advanced Dental Education Programs. In addition, programs adding off-campus sites must adhere to the Policy on Reporting and Approval of Sites Where Educational Activity Occurs. Guidelines for Reporting and Approval of Sites where Educational Activity Occurs are available from the Commission office. Guidelines for Requesting an Increase in Enrollment in a Predoctoral Dental Education Program and Guidelines for Reporting Enrollment Increases in Advanced Dental Education Programs are available from the Commission office.

On occasion, the Commission may learn of program changes which may impact the program's ability to comply with accreditation standards or policy. In these situations, CODA will contact the sponsoring institution and program to determine whether reporting may be necessary. Failure to report and receive approval prior to the program change may result in further review by the Commission and/or a special site visit, and may jeopardize the program's accreditation status.

The Commission's Policy on Integrity also applies to the reporting of changes. If the Commission determines that an intentional breach of integrity has occurred, the Commission will immediately notify the chief executive officer of the institution of its intent to withdraw the accreditation of the program(s) at its next scheduled meeting.

A Report of Program Change must document how the program will continue to meet accreditation standards. The Commission's Guidelines for Reporting Program Changes are available on the Commission's website and may clarify what constitutes a change and provide guidance in adequately explaining and documenting such changes.

The following examples illustrate, but are not limited to, changes that must be reported by **June 1 or December 1** and must be reviewed by the appropriate Review Committee and **approved by the Commission prior to the implementation** to ensure that the program continues to meet the accreditation standards:

- Establishment of Off-Campus Sites not owned by the sponsoring institution used to meet accreditation standards or program requirements (See Guidelines on Reporting and Approval of Sites Where Educational Activity Occurs);
- Changes to Off-Campus Sites not owned by the sponsoring institution that impacts the use of the site (e.g. minor site to major site, or termination of enrollment at or discontinued use of major site);
- Transfer of sponsorship from one institution to another;
- Moving a program from one geographic site to another, including but not limited to geographic moves within the same institution;
- Program director qualifications not in compliance with the standards. In lieu of a CV, a copy of the new or acting program director's completed BioSketch must be provided to Commission staff. Contact Commission Staff for the BioSketch template.
- Substantial increase in program enrollment as determined by preliminary review by the discipline-specific Review Committee Chair.
 - Requests for *retroactive permanent* increases in enrollment will not be considered. Requests for *retroactive temporary* increases in enrollment may be considered due to special circumstances on a case-by-case basis. Programs are reminded that resources must be maintained even when the full complement of students/residents is not enrolled in the program. (see Policy on Enrollment Increases In Advanced Dental Education Programs and Predoctoral programs see Guidelines for Requesting an Increase in Enrollment in a Predoctoral Dental Education Program);
- Change in the nature of the program's financial support that could affect the ability of the program to meet the standards;
- Curriculum changes that could affect the ability of the program to meet the standards;
- Reduction in faculty or support staff time commitment that could affect the ability of the program to meet the standards;
- Change in the required length of the program;
- Reduction of program dental facilities that could affect the ability of the program to meet the standards;
- Addition of advanced standing opportunity, part-time track or multi-degree track, or other track offerings; and/or
- Expansion of a developing dental hygiene or assisting program which will only be considered after the program has demonstrated success by graduating the first class, measured outcomes of the academic program, and received approval without reporting requirements.

The Commission recognizes that unexpected, changes may occur. If an unexpected change occurs, it must be reported no more than 30 days following the occurrence. Unexpected changes may be the result of sudden changes in institutional commitment, affiliated agreements between institutions, faculty support, or facility compromise resulting from natural disaster (See

Policy/Guidelines on Interruption of Education). Failure to proactively plan for change will not be considered an unexpected change. Depending upon the timing and nature of the change, appropriate investigative procedures including a site visit may be warranted.

The following examples illustrate, but are not limited to, additional program changes that must be reported in writing at least thirty (30) days prior to the anticipated implementation of the change and are not reviewed by the Review Committee and the Commission but are reviewed at the next site visit:

- Establishment of Off-Campus Sites owned by the sponsoring institution used to meet accreditation standards or program requirements;
- Expansion or relocation of dental facilities within the same building;
- Change in program director. In lieu of a CV, a copy of the new or acting program director's completed BioSketch must be provided to Commission staff. Contact Commission Staff for the BioSketch template.
- First-year non-enrollment. See Policy on Non Enrollment of First Year Students/Residents.
- Addition of distance education methods (see reporting requirements found in the Policy on Distance Education).

The Commission uses the following process when considering reports of program changes. Program administrators have the option of consulting with Commission staff at any time during this process.

1. A program administrator submits the report by **June 1 or December 1**.
2. Commission staff reviews the report to assess its completeness and to determine whether the change could impact the program's potential ability to comply with the accreditation standards. If this is the case, the report is reviewed by the appropriate Review Committee for the discipline and by the Commission.
3. Receipt of the report and accompanying documentation is acknowledged in one of the following ways:
 - a. The program administrator is informed that the report will be reviewed by the appropriate Review Committee and by the Commission at their next regularly scheduled meeting. Additional information may be requested prior to this review if the change is not well-documented; or
 - b. The program administrator is informed that the reported change will be reviewed during the next site visit.
4. If the report will be considered by a Review Committee and by the Commission, the report is added to the appropriate agendas. The program administrator receives notice of the results of the Commission's review.

The following alternatives may be recommended by Review Committees and/or be taken by the Commission in relation to the review of reports of program changes received from accredited educational programs.

- *Approve the report of program change:* If the Review Committee or Commission does not identify any concerns regarding the program's continued compliance with the accreditation standards, the transmittal letter should advise the institution that the change(s) have been noted and will be reviewed at the next regularly-scheduled site visit to the program.
- *Approve the report of program change and request additional information:* If the Review Committees or Commission does not identify any concerns regarding the program's compliance with the accreditation standards, but believes follow up reporting is required to ensure continued compliance with accreditation standards, additional information will be requested for review by the Commission. Additional information could occur through a supplemental report or a focused site visit,
- *Postpone action and continue the program's accreditation status, but request additional information:* The transmittal letter will inform the institution that the report of program change has been considered, but that concerns regarding continued compliance with the accreditation standards have been identified. Additional specific information regarding the identified concerns will be requested for review by the Commission. The institution will be further advised that, if the additional information submitted does not satisfy the Commission regarding the identified concerns, the Commission reserves the right to request additional documentation, conduct a special focused site visit of the program, or deny the request.
- *Postpone action and continue the program's accreditation status pending conduct of a special site visit:* If the information submitted with the initial request is insufficient to provide reasonable assurance that the accreditation standards will continue to be met, and the Commission believes that the necessary information can only be obtained on-site, a special focused site visit will be conducted.
- *Deny the request:* If the submitted information does not indicate that the program will continue to comply with the accreditation standards, the Commission will deny the request for a program change. The institution will be advised that they may re-submit the request of program change with additional information if they choose. If the program change was submitted retroactively, and non-compliance is identified, the program's accreditation status will be changed. The transmittal letter will inform the institution that the report of program change has been considered, but an area of non-compliance with the accreditation standards has been identified. The program's accreditation status is changed and additional specific information regarding the identified area(s) of non-compliance will be requested for review by the Commission.

Revised: 2/21; 8/20; 1/20; 8/18; 2/18; 8/17; 8/16; 2/16; 8/15; 2/15; 8/13 2/12, 8/11, 8/10, 7/09, 7/07, 8/02, 7/97; Reaffirmed: 7/07, 7/01, 5/90; CODA: 05/91:11

GUIDELINES FOR REPORTING PROGRAM CHANGES

PURPOSE: A “report of program changes” informs the Commission that a change has taken place in the program. Change is part of the dynamic evolution and growth of a healthy education program. **Changes have a direct and significant impact on the program’s potential ability to comply with the Accreditation Standards.** The report should indicate how the relevant standard(s) will continue to be met.

CONSULTATION: Before a change occurs, Commission staff should be consulted immediately. Staff will provide guidance in adequately explaining and documenting all changes. In addition, program administrators frequently consult with staff when they are anticipating changes. This allows the program administrator to assess the impact of the proposed change on the accreditation status of the program.

FORMAT: The report must be clear and concise and must follow the “Format” and “Mechanics” illustrated within this guideline. Reports that fail to adhere to the stated guidelines may be returned to the program for proper formatting. For each change in the program being reported:

1. DESCRIBE THE CHANGE briefly and as clearly as possible. Provide a chronology of events/circumstances leading to the change, if you believe that would be helpful. Include a description of the relevant aspects of the program BEFORE the change and AFTER the change illustrating the impact of the change on the program.
2. PROVIDE RELEVANT DOCUMENTATION to illustrate how the program will continue to comply with the accreditation standard(s). For example, if enrollment increased by a significant percentage, describe and document the resources that will allow the larger number of students to be provided with a quality education (e.g., additional faculty; the purchase of new equipment; copies of laboratory/clinic schedules).

NOTE: When deciding how to explain a change and selecting appropriate documentation, it may be helpful to use the following approach:

- a. Description: discuss BEFORE and AFTER the change;
- b. Appraisal and Analysis: assess the IMPACT of the change;
- c. Supportive Documentation: EVIDENCE that the program continues to meet the standards.

Institutions/Programs are expected to follow Commission policy and procedure on privacy and data security, including those related to compliance with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA). The Commission’s statement on HIPAA, as well as the Privacy and Data Security Summary for Institutions/Programs (PDF), are found in the Policies/Guidelines section of the Commission’s website at <http://www.ada.org/en/coda/policies-and-guidelines/hipaa/>. Programs that fail to comply with CODA’s policy will be assessed an administrative fee of \$4000.

The Commission has directed that program materials be submitted electronically through a secure CODA electronic submission portal or by email, solely. **Paper copies and/or electronic copies mailed to the Commission office will not be accepted.**

MECHANICS: The following guidelines must be observed when preparing your report. Electronic Submission Guidelines are available and **must be strictly followed.**

The Commission requires **one (1) report** be submitted **for each program affected** following the **Electronic Submission Guidelines.** Failure to comply with these guidelines will constitute an incomplete report. Electronic Submission Guidelines are available on the CODA website at this link: <http://www.ada.org/en/coda/policies-and-guidelines/electronic-submission-guidelines>

1. COVER PAGE – **Must** include the following information:
 - a. name and address of the institution
 - b. program title;
 - c. name, title, telephone number, e-mail address and signature of the program director;
 - d. name, title, telephone number, e-mail address and signature of the department head/dean;
 - e. name, title, telephone number, e-mail address and signature of the chief executive officer of the institution (the chief executive officer of the institution sponsoring the program must be copied on the letter to the Commission).

The report must include a signed cover/verification page and must conform to the Commission's electronic submission guidelines.

2. DOCUMENTATION -- If documentation is extensive, include a LIST OF supporting documentation as a table of contents and in the text of the report, and include the actual items in one (1) separate **document that conforms to the electronic submission guidelines.**

DEADLINES: Depending on the specific program change, reports **must** be submitted to the Commission **by June 1 or December 1** (for reports that must be reviewed by the Review Committee and Commission) or at least thirty (30) days prior to the anticipated implementation of a change. Because of the above deadlines, program administrators should consult with Commission staff well in advance of an anticipated change in order to assess any potential impact of the anticipated change on the accreditation status of the program. If the report of change will be considered by a Review Committee and the Commission, the Commission acknowledgment will indicate the meeting date. Failure to adhere to established deadlines and/or comply with the policy will jeopardize the program's accreditation status.

POLICY ON MISSED DEADLINES: So that the Commission may conduct its accreditation program in an orderly fashion, all institutions offering programs accredited by the Commission are expected to adhere to deadlines for requests for program information. Programs/institutions must meet established deadlines to allow scheduling of regular or special site visits and for submission of requested information. Program information (i.e. self-studies, progress reports, annual surveys or other kinds of accreditation-related information requested by the Commission) is considered an integral part of the accreditation process. If an institution fails to comply with the Commission's request, or a prescribed deadline, it will be assumed that the institution no

longer wishes to participate in the accreditation program. In this event, the Commission will immediately notify the chief executive officer of the institution of its intent to withdraw the accreditation of the program(s) at its next scheduled meeting.

Revised: 2/16; Reaffirmed: 8/20; 8/15; 8/10, 7/07, 7/01, 5/88

POLICY ON PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION OF DOCUMENTS TO THE COMMISSION:

All institutions offering programs accredited by the Commission are expected to prepare documents that adhere to guidelines set forth by the Commission on Dental Accreditation, including required verification signatures by the institution's chief executive officer, the institution's chief academic officer, and program director. These documents may include, but are not limited to, self-study, responses to site visit/progress reports, initial accreditation applications, reports of program change, and transfer of sponsorship and exhibits. The Commission's various guidelines for preparing and submitting documents, including electronic submission, can be found on the Commission's website or obtained from the Commission staff.

In addition, all institutions must meet established deadlines for submission of requested information. Any information that does not meet the preparation or submission guidelines or is received after the prescribed deadlines may be returned to the program, which could affect the accreditation status of the program.

Electronic Submission of Accreditation Materials: All institutions will provide the Commission with an electronic copy of all accreditation documents and related materials, which conform to the Commission's Electronic Submission Guidelines. Electronic submission guidelines can be found on the Commission's website or obtained from the Commission staff. Accreditation documents and related materials must be complete and comprehensive.

Documents that fail to adhere to the stated Guidelines for submission will not be accepted and the program will be contacted to submit a corrected document. In this case, documents may not be reviewed at the assigned time which may impact the program's accreditation status.

Compliance with Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) (Excerpt): The program's documentation for CODA must not contain any patient protected health information (PHI) or sensitive personally identifiable information (PII). If the program submits documentation that does not comply with the policy on PHI or PII, CODA will assess an administrative processing fee of \$4,000 per program submission to the institution; a program's resubmission that continues to contain PHI or PII will be assessed an additional \$4,000 administrative processing fee.

Revised: 8/20; Adopted 1/20 (Formerly Policy on Electronic Submission of Accreditation Materials, Commission Policy and Procedure Related to Compliance with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act [HIPAA] and Policy on Preparation and Submission of Reports to the Commission)

ASSISTANCE: If you have questions, it is preferred that you contact staff via email. CODA staff emails can be found on the CODA website at the following link:
<https://www.ada.org/en/coda/accreditation/coda-membership/coda-staff>

Staff can also be contacted at the phone number and extension below: 312-440-(ext.)

- dental education programs and dental therapy programs, extension 2721;
- advanced dental education programs in dental public health, oral and maxillofacial pathology, oral and maxillofacial radiology, pediatric dentistry and prosthodontics, extension 2672;
- advanced dental education programs in endodontics, oral and maxillofacial surgery, orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics and periodontics, and fellowships in oral and maxillofacial surgery and orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics, extension 2714;
- advanced dental education programs in advanced education in general dentistry, general practice residency, dental anesthesiology, oral medicine and orofacial pain, extension 2788;
- dental assisting programs and dental laboratory technology programs, extension 4660; and
- dental hygiene programs, extension 2695

Commission on Dental Accreditation Privacy and Data Security Reminders

Protect sensitive personally identifiable information (“PII”) such as social security numbers, drivers’ license numbers, credit card numbers, account numbers, etc.

Security Reminder: Personally Identifiable Information

Before submitting any documents to CODA or to a CODA site visitor, an institution must:

- Review for PII and patient identifiers.
- Fully and appropriately redact any PII and patient identifiers.
- Make sure the redacted information is unreadable in hard copy and electronic form. You must use appropriate redaction methods to ensure personal information cannot be read or reconstructed.

CODA **does not accept** PII or patient identifiers in any materials submitted by a program.

Security Reminder: Patient Identifiers

Before submitting any information about a patient to CODA or to a CODA site visitor, you must **thoroughly redact all 18 patient identifiers listed on the next page.**

Examples of information about a patient:

- Dental records
- Rosters of procedures (procedure logs)
- Chart review records (chart audit records)
- Information from affiliated teaching institutions, to include items listed above
- Brochures with patient images and/or information
- Presentations with patient images and/or information
- Course materials (exams, lecture materials) with patient images and/or information

If **even one** identifier is readable, do not submit the information to CODA.

CODA **does not accept** documents containing PII or patient identifiers from institutions. Any PHI/PII that is necessary for CODA accreditation may only be reviewed by CODA site visitors when they are on-site at the institution.

When redacting identifiers, you must ensure that the information is unreadable and cannot be reconstructed in both hard copy and electronic form. For example, certain information redacted on a hard copy can become readable when the hard copy is scanned. Instead, it may be effective to use opaque cover-up tape on the hard copy, scan, and then ensure the redacted information on the scanned version is not visible/readable through the redaction.

Commission on Dental Accreditation
Privacy and Data Security Requirements for Institutions
(Rev. 2/22/19)

1. **Sensitive Information.** To protect the privacy of individuals and to comply with applicable law, the Commission on Dental Accreditation (“CODA” or “the Commission”) **prohibits all programs/institutions from disclosing in electronic or hard copy documents** provided to CODA other than on-site during a site visit, any of the following information (“Sensitive Information” or “PII”):
 - Social Security number
 - Credit or debit card number or other information (e.g., expiration date, security code)
 - Drivers’ license number
 - Account number with a pin or security code that permits access
 - Health insurance information, such as policy number or subscriber I.D.
 - Medical information, such as information about an individual’s condition or treatment
 - Mother’s maiden name
 - Taxpayer ID number
 - Date of birth
 - Any data protected by applicable law (e.g., HIPAA, state data security law)
 - Biometric data, such as fingerprint or retina image
 - Username or email address, in combination with a password or security question that permits access to an online account

2. **Patient Identifiers.** Before submitting information about a patient to CODA other than on-site during a site visit, a program/institution **must remove the following data elements** of the individual, and of relatives, household members, and employers of the individual (the “Patient Identifiers”):
 1. Names, including initials
 2. Address (including city, zip code, county, precinct)
 3. Dates, including **treatment date**, admission date, age, date of birth, or date of death [a range of dates (e.g., May 1 – 31, 2015) is permitted provided such range cannot be used to identify the individual who is the subject of the information]
 4. Telephone numbers
 5. Fax numbers
 6. E-mail addresses
 7. Social Security numbers
 8. Medical record numbers
 9. Health plan beneficiary numbers
 10. Account numbers
 11. Certificate/license numbers
 12. Vehicle identifiers and serial numbers, including license plate numbers
 13. Device identifiers and serial numbers
 14. Web Universal Resource Locators (URLs)
 15. Internet Protocol (IP) address numbers

16. Biometric identifiers (e.g., finger and voice prints)
17. Full face photographic images and comparable images
18. Any other unique identifying number, characteristic, or code:
 - that is derived from information about the individual
 - that is capable of being translated so as to identify the individual, or
 - if the mechanism for re-identification (e.g., the key) is also disclosed

In addition, the information provided to CODA cannot be capable of being used alone or in combination with other information to identify the individual.

3. **Redaction.** When removing any Sensitive Information or Patient Identifier from paper or electronic documents disclosed to CODA, programs/institutions shall **fully and appropriately** remove the data such that the data cannot be read or otherwise reconstructed. Covering data with ink is not an appropriate means of removing data from a hard copy document and may sometimes be viewable when such documents are scanned to an electronic format.
4. **Administrative fee.** *If the program/institution submits any documentation that does not comply with the directives noted above, CODA will assess an administrative fee of \$4000 to the program/institution; a resubmission that continues to contain prohibited data will be assessed an additional \$4000 fee.*
 - CODA Site Visitors and Commission volunteers are only authorized to access Sensitive Information and Patient Identifiers:
 - Onsite during a site visit, and
 - That are necessary for conducting the accreditation site visit
 - CODA Site Visitors and Commission volunteers may not download or make hard copies or electronic copies of Sensitive Information or Patient Identifiers.

NOTE: If a document includes fictitious information, which may otherwise appear to be Sensitive Information or Patient Identifiers, the program is expected to clearly mark the document as “Fictitious Example”.